

Variant of *KP01*: *Multiple Choice KP (MCKP)*

In addition to the input data for *KP01*:

the set of the n items is *partitioned* into k disjoint subsets N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k .

- determine a subset of the n items, **with at most one item for each subset** N_h ($h = 1, \dots, k$), so as to maximize the global profit, and such that the global weight is not larger than the knapsack capacity C .

BLP Model for MCKP (2)

- determine a subset of the n items, **with at most one item for each subset** N_h ($h = 1, \dots, k$), so as to maximize the global profit, and such that the global weight is not larger than the knapsack capacity C .

$$\max \sum_{j=1,n} P_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C$$

$$\sum_{j \in N_h} x_j \leq 1 \quad (h = 1, \dots, k)$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

***MCKP* is NP-Hard**

BLP Model for MCKP (3)

* Define the *Binary Matrix* A_{hj} ($h = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, n$), with:

- $A_{hj} = 1$ if $j \in N_h$
- $A_{hj} = 0$ otherwise.
- *Matrix* A_{hj} belongs to the **input data** of the instance

$$\max \sum_{j=1,n} P_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} A_{hj} x_j \leq 1 \quad (h = 1, \dots, k)$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

Multiple Choice KP (MCKP) is NP-Hard

MCKP: in addition to the input data for KP01:

the set of the n items is *partitioned* into k disjoint subsets N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k .

- determine a subset of the n items, **with at most one item for each subset** N_h ($h = 1, \dots, k$), so as to maximize the global profit, and such that the global weight is not larger than the knapsack capacity C .
- **Input:** $m, C, k, (P_j), (W_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), N_h ($h = 1, \dots, k$)
- **Size:** $3 + 2n + k * n$ (matrix A_{hj}), with $k \leq n : n * n$
- **Size:** $3 + 2n + n$ (partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) : n .
- **Binary Decision Tree:** similar to the decision tree of KP-01: n levels, 2 descendent nodes and constant time for each node:
- **MCKP** \in **Class NP** ;
- **MCKP** is a “generalization” of **KP-01** : **KP-01** \propto **MCKP**

BLP Model for MCKP

- * *Binary Matrix* A_{hj} ($h = 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, n$), with:
 - $A_{hj} = 1$ if $j \in N_h$; $A_{hj} = 0$ otherwise.

$$\max \sum_{j=1,n} P_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} A_{hj} x_j \leq 1 \quad (h = 1, \dots, k)$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

The *BLP Model* has a number of binary variables x_j polynomial in the size of *MCKP*:

MCKP \in *Class NP*

Multiple Knapsack Problem

(MKP01)

Given: n items, m containers (knapsacks)

P_j profit of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

C_i capacity of container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

insert a subset of the n items in each container in order to maximize the global profit of the items inserted in the containers, and in such a way that the sum of the weights of the items inserted in each container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is not greater than the corresponding capacity C_i

Each item can be inserted in at most one container.

MKP01 (2)

Given: n items, m containers (knapsacks)

P_j profit of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

C_i capacity of container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

insert a subset of the n items in each container in order to maximize the global profit of the items inserted in the containers, and in such a way that the sum of the weights of the items inserted in each container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is not greater than the corresponding capacity C_i

$P_j > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

$W_j > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

MKP01 (3)

Given: n items, m containers (knapsacks)

P_j profit of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

C_i capacity of container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

$P_j > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$); $W_j > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j > \max\{C_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$

$W_j \leq \max\{C_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$)

$\min\{C_i : i = 1, \dots, m\} \geq \min\{W_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$

Mathematical Model of MKP01

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if item } j \text{ is inserted in container } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\max \sum_{j=1,n} P_j \left(\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} \right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

???

MKP01 is NP-Hard

MKP01: given: n items, m containers (knapsacks),

P_j profit of item j , W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$),

C_i capacity of container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$):

insert a subset of the n items in each of the m containers in order to maximize the global profit of the inserted items, and in such a way that the global weight of the items inserted in each container i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is not greater than the corresponding capacity C_i

Input: $n, m, (P_j), (W_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), (C_i) ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

- **Size:** $2 + 2n + m : n + m$, ($m \leq n$: Size n)

- **Decision Tree:** n levels (one for each item j);

($m + 1$) descendent nodes (insert item j in knapsack 1, or 2, ..., or m , or in no knapsack) and constant time for each node:

$MKP01 \in$ Class NP ;

*(BLP model with $(m * n)$ binary variables x_{ij})*

- *$MKP01$ is a “generalization” of $KP-01$:*

$KP-01 \propto MCKP$

Mathematical Model of MKP01

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if item } j \text{ is inserted in container } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\max \sum_{j=1,n} P_j \left(\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} \right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

MKP01 is NP-Hard

Generalized Assignment Problem

(GAP)

Given: m machines (persons) and n jobs (tasks):
 *c_{ij} cost for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m$;
 $j = 1, \dots, n$);*
 *r_{ij} amount of resource utilized for assigning job j to
machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m$; $j = 1, \dots, n$); $r_{ij} \geq 0$;*
 *b_i amount of resource available for machine i
($i = 1, \dots, m$), $b_i > 0$.*

*Assign each job to a machine so as to minimize the
global cost, and in such a way that the global resource
utilized by each machine i is not greater than the
corresponding available resource b_i*

Generalized Assignment Problem *(GAP)*

Assign each job to a machine so as to minimize the global cost, and in such a way that the global resource utilized by each machine i is not greater than the corresponding available resource b_i .

GAP is NP-Hard

The Feasibility Problem of GAP is NP-Hard

Decisional binary variables:

$x_{ij} = 1$ if job j is assigned to machine i ;

$x_{ij} = 0$ otherwise; ($i = 1, \dots, m$; $j = 1, \dots, n$)

Mathematical Model of *GAP*

- Objective function (minimum cost)

$$\min \sum_{i=1,m} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} r_{ij} x_{ij} \leq b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

Maximization Version of *GAP (Max-GAP)*

- Objective function (maximum “cost”)

$$\max \sum_{i=1,m} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} r_{ij} x_{ij} \leq b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

GAP is NP-Hard

Given: m machines and n jobs:

c_{ij} cost (r_{ij} amount of resource utilized) for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);

b_i amount of resource available for machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m$):

Assign each job to a machine so as to minimize the global cost, and in such a way that the global resource utilized by each machine i is not greater than the corresponding available resource b_i .

Input: $m, n, (c_{ij}), (r_{ij})$ ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);
 (b_i) ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

Size: $2 + 2m * n + m : m * n$

The Feasibility Problem of GAP (F-GAP) is NP-Hard.

Feasibility Problem of GAP (F-GAP)

Given: m machines and n jobs:

r_{ij} amount of resource utilized for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);

b_i amount of resource available for machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m$):

Assign each job to a machine in such a way that the global resource utilized by each machine i is not greater than the corresponding available resource b_i .

Input: $m, n, (r_{ij})$ ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$); (b_i) ($i = 1, \dots, m$):

Size: $m * n$

- *Decision Tree:* n levels (one for each job j);
- * m descendent nodes (insert job j in machine 1, or 2, ..., or m) and constant time for each node:

F-GAP \in *Class NP*

Also **GAP** \in *Class NP* (same Size and *Decision Tree* as F-GAP);
(*BLP model* with $(m * n)$ binary variables x_{ij})

Feasibility Problem of GAP (*F-GAP*)

Given: m machines and n jobs:

r_{ij} amount of resource utilized for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);

b_i amount of resource available for machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m$):

PP \propto *F-GAP* :

• Given any instance of *PP*: $t, (a_j), b$ (Size: t)

1) Define (in time $O(t)$) an instance $(m, n, (r_{ij}), (b_i))$ of *F-GAP*:

* $n := t$

* $m := 2; b_1 := b; b_2 := \sum_{j=1,t} a_j - b$

* $r_{1j} := a_j; r_{2j} := a_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$).

2) Determine (if it exists) a feasible solution (x_{1j}, x_{2j}) of *F-GAP*.

3) If a feasible solution of *F-GAP* exists, then *PP* has a feasible solution (x_{1j}, x_{2j})

Otherwise: *PP* has no feasible solution.

Computing time $O(n)$ (hence $O(t)$, polynomial in the size of *PP*).

* *F-GAP* is NP-Hard

Bin Packing Problem (BPP)

Given:

n items;

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) ($W_j > 0$);

m containers (bins), each with capacity C :

insert all the n items in the containers in order to minimize the number of used containers, and in such a way that the sum of the weights of the items inserted in a container is not greater than the capacity C .

$$W_j < C \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\sum_{j=1, n} W_j > C$$

Bin Packing Problem (BPP)

Given:

n items;

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) ($W_j > 0$);

m containers (bins), each with capacity C :

insert all the n items in the containers in order to minimize the number of used containers, and in such a way that the sum of the weights of the items inserted in a container is not greater than the capacity C .

BPP is NP-Hard

The Feasibility Problem of BPP is NP-Hard

Mathematical Model of BPP

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if item } j \text{ is inserted in container } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

(**)

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if container } i \text{ is used} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

Mathematical Model of BPP (2)

$$\min \sum_{i=1,m} y_i$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

???

Bin Packing Problem (BPP) is NP-Hard

Given: n items; m bins (each with capacity C);

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$):

insert *all the n items in the bins in order to minimize the number of used bins, and in such a way that the global weight of the items inserted in a bin is not greater than the capacity C .*

- **Input:** $n, m, C, (W_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$); **Size:** $3 + n : n$
- $m \leq n$

Feasibility Problem of BPP (F-BPP)

Given: n items; m bins (each with capacity C);

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$):

insert all the n items in the m bins in such a way that the global weight of the items inserted in a bin is not greater than the capacity C .

F-BPP is NP-Hard

- **Input:** $n, m, C, (W_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$); **Size:** $3 + n : n$
- **Decision Tree:** n levels (one for each item j);
- * m descendent nodes (insert item j in bin 1, or 2, ..., or m) and constant time for each node ($m \leq n$):

F-BPP \in **Class NP** ;

Also **BPP** \in **Class NP** (same Size and Decision Tree as F-BPP);

(BLP model with $(m * n + m)$ binary variables x_{ij}, y_i)

F-BBP is NP-Hard

Given: n items; m bins (each with capacity C);

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$):

insert all the n items in the m bins in such a way that the global weight of the items inserted in a bin is not greater than the capacity C .

• ***PP*** \propto ***F-BPP*** :

• Given any instance of *PP*: $t, (a_j), b$ (Size: t)

1) Define (in time $O(t)$) an instance $(n, (W_j), m, C)$ of *F-BPP*:

* $n := t$

* $C := b$

* $m := 2$

* $W_j := a_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$).

2) Determine (if it exists) a feasible solution (x) of *F-BPP*.

3) If a feasible solution (x_{1j}, x_{2j}) of *F-BPP* exists, then *PP* has a feasible solution (x_{1j}, x_{2j})

Otherwise: *PP* has no feasible solution.

Computing time $O(n)$ (hence $O(t)$, polynomial in the size of *PP*)

F-BPP is a particular case of F-GAP

F-GAP: given: m machines and n jobs:

r_{ij} amount of resource utilized for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);

b_i amount of resource available for machine i ($i = 1, \dots, m$):

assign each job to a machine so that the global resource utilized by each machine i is not greater than the available resource b_i .

F-BPP: given: n items; m bins (each with capacity C);

W_j weight of item j ($j = 1, \dots, n$):

insert all the n items in the m bins so that the global weight of the items inserted in a bin is not greater than the capacity C .

Arising when:

$r_{ij} := W_j$ ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);

$b_i := b$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

Mathematical Model of BPP (2)

$$(M1) \quad \min \sum_{i=1,m} y_i$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \leq y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

Mathematical Model of BPP (2)

$$(M1) \quad \min \quad \sum_{i=1,m} y_i$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \leq y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

(m + n + m n) constraints

Alternative Models of BPP

$$(M2) \quad \min \quad \sum_{i=1,m} y_i$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} \leq M y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad M \geq n$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

(2 m + n) constraints

Alternative Models of BPP (2)

$$(M3) \quad \min \sum_{i=1,m} y_i$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$(m + n)$ constraints

Alternative Models of BPP (3)

$$(M1) \quad \sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \leq y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$(M2) \quad \sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} \leq M y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad M \geq n$$

$$(M3) \quad \sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

- **EXAMPLE:** $C = 100$, $W_1 = 50$, $n = 1000$, ...
- “*Linear Relaxation*” of the variables y_i ($0 \leq y_i \leq 1$),
- $x_{11} = 1$, $y_1 = 0.5$ ($x_{1j} = 0$, $j = 2, \dots, n$):

(M2) and (M3): all constraints are satisfied

(M1) $i = 1, j = 1$: constraint $x_{ij} \leq y_i$ ($1 \leq 0.5$) is not satisfied

Linear Relaxation of Model (M1)

- *Lower Bound LB* on the value of the optimal solution of *BPP*:

$$LB = \sum_{j=1,n} W_j / C \quad (LB > 1); \quad k = \lceil LB \rceil$$

- * “*Linear Relaxation*” of the variables x_{ij} and y_i :

$$0 \leq x_{ij} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq y_i \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n).$$

- **Optimal solution of the *Linear Relaxation of BPP (Model M1)*:**

- $y_i = 1 / LB = C / \sum_{j=1,n} W_j \quad (< 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 1$

- $y_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1, k-1} y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < y_1 < 1)$

- $y_h = 0 \quad h = k + 1, \dots, m$

- $x_{ij} = y_i \quad (0 \leq x_{ij} < 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$

Linear Relaxation of Model (M1)

- **Optimal solution of the *Linear Relaxation of BPP (Model M1)*:**

- $y_i = 1 / LB = C / \sum_{j=1,n} W_j \quad (< 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 1$

- $y_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1,k-1} y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < y_1 < 1)$

- $y_h = 0 \quad h = k + 1, \dots, m$

- $x_{ij} = y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$

- ***Constraints:***

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_{ij} \leq C \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j y_j = \sum_{j=1,n} W_j / LB = C \quad (i = 1, \dots, k - 1);$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j y_k < \sum_{j=1,n} W_j y_1 = C;$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j y_j = 0 < C \quad (i = k + 1, \dots, m)$$

Linear Relaxation of Model (M1)

- **Optimal solution of the *Linear Relaxation of BPP (Model M1)*:**

- $y_i = 1 / LB = C / \sum_{j=1,n} W_j \quad (< 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1$

- $y_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1,k-1} y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < y_1 < 1)$

- $y_h = 0 \quad h = k+1, \dots, m$

- $x_{ij} = y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$

- ***Constraints:***

- * $\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$

- $\sum_{i=1,m} y_i = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$

- * $x_{ij} \leq y_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$

- $x_{ij} = y_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$

Linear Relaxation of Model (M1)

- **Optimal solution of the *Linear Relaxation of BPP (Model M1)*:**
- $y_i = 1 / LB = C / \sum_{j=1,n} W_j \quad (< 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 1$
- $y_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1, k-1} y_j \quad (0 \leq y_k < y_1 < 1)$
- $y_h = 0 \quad h = k + 1, \dots, m$
- $x_{ij} = y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$

All the constraints are satisfied: feasible solution!

- ***Objective Function:***

$$(M1) \quad z = \sum_{i=1,m} y_i$$

Linear Relaxation of Model (M1)

- **Optimal solution of the *Linear Relaxation of BPP (Model M1)*:**
- $y_i = 1 / LB = C / \sum_{j=1,n} W_j \quad (< 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1$
- $y_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1, k-1} y_j \quad (0 \leq y_k < y_1 < 1)$
- $y_h = 0 \quad h = k+1, \dots, m$
- $x_{ij} = y_i \quad (0 \leq y_k < 1) \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$

All the constraints are satisfied: feasible solution!

- ***Objective Function:***

$$(M1) \quad z = \sum_{i=1,m} y_i = 1 \quad (\text{useless Lower Bound!})$$

Assignment Problem (AP)

Particular case of GAP:

$m = n$: n machines (persons) and n jobs (tasks):

c_{ij} cost for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, n$);

$r_{ij} = 1$ amount of resource utilized for assigning job j to machine i ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, n$);

$b_i = 1$ amount of resource available for machine i ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

3

AP is a Polynomial Problem solvable in $O(n^3)$ time.

Mathematical Model of *GAP*

- Objective function (minimum cost)

$$\min \sum_{i=1,m} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} r_{ij} x_{ij} \leq b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

Mathematical Model of AP

- Objective function (minimum cost)

$$\min \sum_{i=1,n} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

Mathematical Model of AP

- Objective function (minimum cost)

$$\min \sum_{i=1,n} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} \leq 1: \sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$0 \leq x_{ij} \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

Mathematical Model of AP

- Objective function (minimum cost)

$$\min \sum_{i=1,n} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

LP Model

(the Coefficient Matrix is “Totally Unimodular”)

Maximization Version of *AP*

(Max-AP)

- Objective function (maximum “cost”)

$$\max \quad \sum_{i=1,n} \sum_{j=1,n} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

- One machine assigned to each job:

$$\sum_{i=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- Resource utilized for each machine:

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

Min-Max Version of AP (*Bottleneck AP*)

- Assume $c_{ij} \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, n$);
- Objective function (minimum cost of an assignment)

$$\min \quad z = \text{Max}\{c_{ij} x_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\sum_{i=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\min \quad z$$

$$z \geq c_{ij} x_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model

Min-Max Version of GAP (*Bottleneck GAP*)

- Assume $c_{ij} \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$);
- Objective function (minimum cost of an assignment)

$$\min \quad z = \text{Max}\{c_{ij} x_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\sum_{i=1,m} x_{ij} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} r_{ij} x_{ij} \leq b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\min \quad z$$

$$z \geq c_{ij} x_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n)$$

BLP Model