

Difficult *KP01* Instances

- * ***Algorithm PR*** (Pandit and Ravi-Kumar, 1993) is a “specialized” exact algorithm for *KP01* designed to solve only *SCR instances*:
- * it is able to solve to optimality *SCR instances* with up to 10,000 items in few CPU minutes,
- * but it cannot solve *UCR* and *WCR instances*.

Data Set	n	MT2	DPT	PR
UCR	50	0.01	0.02	-
	100	0.01	0.02	-
	500	0.05	0.09	-
	1000	0.09	0.24	-
	10000	0.50	3.73	-
WCR	50	0.01	0.06	-
	100	0.02	0.08	-
	500	0.08	0.49	-
	1000	0.12	1.66	-
	10000	0.31	5.86	-
SCR	50	0.13	0.51	0.01
	100	134.48 (9)	2.03	0.03
	500	642.62 (4)	45.01	0.50
	1000	-	124.78 (5)	1.94
	10000	-	-	207.48

*** VAXstation 3100 seconds; Time limit = 2000 seconds;**

*** Average time over 10 instances (solved instances if < 10)**

Data Set	<i>n</i>	MT2	DPT	PR	Cplex
UCR	50	0.01	0.02	-	0.12
	100	0.01	0.02	-	0.19
	500	0.05	0.09	-	0.72
	1000	0.09	0.24	-	1.51
	10000	0.50	3.73	-	27.84
WCR	50	0.01	0.06	-	0.14
	100	0.02	0.08	-	0.23
	500	0.08	0.49	-	1.29
	1000	0.12	1.66	-	2.54
	10000	0.31	5.86	-	21.81
SCR	50	0.13	0.51	0.01	4.22
	100	(9)	2.03	0.03	(8)
	500	(4)	45.01	0.50	(4)
	1000	-	(5)	1.94	(1)
	10000	-	-	207.48	-

*** VAXstation 3100 seconds; Time limit = 2000 seconds;**

*** Average time over 10 instances (solved instances if < 10)**

Additional Test Instances for KP01

* **Given:** n , generate k random instances as follows

$$* \quad C = 0.5 \sum_{j=1, n} W_j$$

4) *Almost Strongly Correlated (ASCR) Instances:*

* W_j integer uniformly random in $[1, 1000]$ ($j = i, \dots, n$);

* P_j integer un. rand. in $[W_j + 99, W_j + 101]$ ($j = i, \dots, n$).

5) *Uncorrelated with Large Weights (ULWR) Instances:*

* W_j integer un. rand. in $[100,001, 101,000]$ ($j = i, \dots, n$);

* P_j integer uniformly random in $[1, 1000]$ ($j = i, \dots, n$).

* **Algorithm PR** cannot solve ASCR and ULWR instances.

Data Set	n	MT2	DPT	PR
ASCR	50	0.09	0.51	-
	100	(9)	2.11	-
	500	(5)	44.47	-
	1000	-	118.78 (5)	-
	10000	-	-	-
ULWR	50	0.10	(8)	-
	100	0.02	(5)	-
	500	(7)	-	-
	1000	(8)	-	-
	10000	-	-	-

- * VAXstation 3100 seconds; Time limit = 2000 seconds;
- * Average time over 10 instances (solved instances if < 10)
- * **Better Upper Bounds for *KP01* are needed: strengthen a relaxed problem *RP* by adding valid inequalities which are redundant for the original problem, but could be violated by the optimal solution of *RP*.**

Stronger Upper Bound for KP01 (M.-T. 1997)

* Determine:

Kmax = maximum number of items in a feasible solution

* Sort the items so that $W_1 \leq W_2 \leq \dots \leq W_n$

$$Kmax = \min \{ k : \sum_{j=1, k} W_j > C \} - 1$$

* Example:

$$n = 6; C = 48; (P_j) = (15, 16, 19, 17, 19, 23);$$

$$(W_j) = (10, 12, 15, 14, 17, 21).$$

$$* s = 4; UB_D = 15 + 16 + 19 + [11 * 17 / 14] = 63$$

* sorted (W_j) : (10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 21), *Kmax* = 3.

* Optimal Solution $(x_j) = (0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$, $z(KP01) = 58$

Stronger Upper Bound for KP01 (2)

* Determine:

KMAX = maximum number of items in a feasible solution

* Sort the items so that $W_1 \leq W_2 \leq \dots \leq W_n$

$$***KMAX*** = \min \{ k : \sum_{j=1, k} W_j > C \} - 1$$

* Equivalent ILP model for *KP01*:

$$z(KP01) = \max \sum_{j=1, n} P_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1, n} W_j x_j \leq C$$

$$\sum_{j=1, n} x_j \leq ***KMAX***$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

Stronger Upper Bound for KP01 (3)

* Equivalent ILP model for KP01:

$$z(KP01) = \max \sum_{j=1,n} P_j x_j \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} x_j \leq KMAX \quad (3)$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \quad (4)$$

* Lagrangian Relaxation of constraint (3) ($v \geq 0$), and LP Relaxation of the Lagrangian Relaxation:

$$UB(v) = \max \left(\sum_{j=1,n} P_j x_j + v (KMAX - \sum_{j=1,n} x_j) \right) \text{ s.t. } (2), (4')$$

$$0 \leq x_j \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n) \quad (4')$$

Stronger Upper Bound for KP01 (4)

$$UB(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} * KMAX + \max \sum_{j=1,n} P(\mathbf{v})_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C$$

$$0 \leq x_j \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\text{with } P(\mathbf{v})_j = P_j - v \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

* The corresponding *Dantzig Upper Bound* is computed.

* The *best Lagrangian Multiplier* \mathbf{v}^* (and the corresponding $UB(\mathbf{v}^*)$) can be computed in $O(n * n)$ time.

Stronger Upper Bound for KP01 (5)

$$UB(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} * KMAX + \max \sum_{j=1,n} P(\mathbf{v})_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C; \quad 0 \leq x_j \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\text{with } P(\mathbf{v})_j = P_j - \mathbf{v} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

* Example:

$$n = 6; C = 48; (P_j) = (15, 16, 19, 17, 19, 23);$$

$$(W_j) = (10, 12, 15, 14, 17, 21); Kmax = 3.$$

$$* \mathbf{v} = 0, s(0) = 4; UB(0) = 15 + 16 + 19 + [11 * 17 / 14] = 63$$

$$* \mathbf{v} = 5, \text{ sorted items } (P(5)_j) = (10, 14, 11, 12, 18, 14);$$

$$(W'_j) = (10, \underline{15}, \underline{12}, 14, \underline{21}, \underline{17}).$$

$$s(5) = 4; UB(5) = 5 * 3 + 10 + 14 + 11 + [11 * 12 / 14] = 59$$

Stronger Upper Bound for KP01 (6)

$$UB(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} * KMAX + \max \sum_{j=1,n} P(\mathbf{v})_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1,n} W_j x_j \leq C; \quad 0 \leq x_j \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

$$\text{with } P(\mathbf{v})_j = P_j - \mathbf{v} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

* Example:

$$n = 6; C = 48; (P_j) = (15, 16, 19, 17, 19, 23);$$

$$(W_j) = (10, 12, 15, 14, 17, 21); Kmax = 3.$$

$$* \mathbf{v} = 0, s(0) = 4, UB(0) = 63; * \mathbf{v} = 5, s(5) = 4, UB(5) = 59;$$

$$* \mathbf{v} = 10, \text{ sorted items } (P(5)_j) = (13, 9, 9, 5, 6, 7);$$

$$(W''_j) = (21, 15, 17, 10, 12, 14).$$

$$s(10) = 3; UB(10) = 10 * 3 + 13 + 9 + [12 * 9 / 17] = 58$$

Alternative Stronger Upper Bound for KP01

* Determine: $KMIN$ = minimum number of items in an optimal solution.

* Sort the items so that $P_1 \geq P_2 \geq \dots \geq P_n$

$$KMIN = \min \{ k : \sum_{j=1, k} P_j > LB \}$$

where LB (Lower Bound) is the value of a feasible solution.

* Equivalent ILP model for $KP01$:

$$z(KP01) = \max \quad \sum_{j=1, n} P_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1, n} W_j x_j \leq C$$

$$\sum_{j=1, n} x_j \geq KMIN$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$(j = 1, \dots, n)$$

Algorithm MTH for KP01 (M.-T., Oper. Res. 1997)

*** At each node of the branch-decision tree:**

a) compute the “stronger upper bound” or the “alternative stronger upper bound” by using a parametric technique;

b) if the node is not fathomed, apply the Reduction Procedure, and try to fathom the node through Dominance Criteria;

c) try to fathom the node through a “Partial Dynamic Programming” list.

Data Set	<i>n</i>	MT2	DPT	PR	MTH
UCR	50	0.01	0.02	-	0.03
	100	0.01	0.02	-	0.04
	500	0.05	0.09	-	0.08
	1000	0.09	0.24	-	0.14
	10000	0.50	3.73	-	1.59
WCR	50	0.01	0.06	-	0.03
	100	0.02	0.08	-	0.04
	500	0.08	0.49	-	0.09
	1000	0.12	1.66	-	0.15
	10000	0.31	5.86	-	1.28
SCR	50	0.13	0.51	0.01	0.10
	100	(9)	2.03	0.03	0.15
	500	(4)	45.01	0.50	0.71
	1000	-	(5)	1.94	1.31
	10000	-	-	207.48	2.31

*** VAXstation 3100 seconds; Time limit = 2000 seconds;**

*** Average time over 10 instances (solved instances if < 10)**

Data Set	<i>n</i>	MT2	DPT	PR	MTH
ASCR	50	0.09	0.51	-	0.11
	100	(9)	2.11	-	0.64
	500	(5)	44.47	-	0.78
	1000	-	(5)	-	5.65
	10000	-	-	-	102.83
ULWR	50	0.10	0.02 (8)	-	0.07
	100	0.02	0.06 (5)	-	0.04
	500	(7)	-	-	3.30
	1000	(8)	-	-	0.76
	10000	-	-	-	327.58

- * VAXstation 3100 seconds; Time limit = 2000 seconds;
- * Average time over 10 instances (solved instances if < 10)